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Tau lepton and B-factories

• Tau lepton
  – the heaviest lepton = $1.78\text{GeV}/c^2$
  – the only lepton able to decay to meson(s) = various decays allowed
  – belonging to the third generation

• B-factory
  – $\sqrt{s}$ is set to $10.6\text{GeV}$ ($=\Upsilon(4S)$ mass)
  – There, $\sigma(bb)=1.1\text{nb}$ while $\sigma(\tau\tau)=0.9\text{nb}$ $\rightarrow$ similar number of tau-pairs are produced: A B-factory is also a tau-factory!
  – Since tau-pair is produced, clear tau-tag is possible. (cf. LHCb)
  – There are two B-factories in the world, i.e., Belle and BaBar experiments.
Belle Experiment @ KEK

Belle has finished data taking in 2010 with >1ab$^{-1}$ of data: ~2x10$^{9}$ taus

Belle detector is a multi-purpose and asymmetric detector and has good:

- K/pi separation
- lepton identification
- hermeticity
Also BaBar has features similar to those for Belle, i.e., particle identification, etc.
CPV IN LEPTON SECTOR
CPV in lepton sector

- CPV in lepton sector has not been observed yet.
- CPV in lepton sector is strongly suppressed in SM.
  - An observation means a clear signal of the new physics!
- Tau is a good probe for it. One possible decay including CPV is $\tau \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi \nu$:

$$H_{NP} = \sin \theta_c \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\nu} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \tau) \eta_s \bar{s} u$$

When NP is considered, this scalar interaction is possible and induces CP violation.
Differential decay width and CPV

- The effect from CPV appears via the interference between SM term and NP term.

\[
\frac{d\Gamma(\tau^-)}{dQ^2 d\cos\theta d\cos\beta} = \left[ A(Q^2) - B(Q^2)(3\cos^2\Psi - 1)(3\cos^2\beta - 1) \right] \cdot |F|^2 + m_\tau^2 |F_s|^2 
- C(Q^2)\cos\beta\cos\psi \cdot \text{Re}(FF_s^*(\eta_s))
\]

\(Q^2 = M_{K\pi}^2\), \(A(Q^2), B(Q^2), C(Q^2)\): known function.
\(\beta\): direction of \(K_s\) in \(K_s\pi\) rest frame
\(\Psi\): direction of \(\tau\) in the \(K_s\pi\) rest frame.
(\(\theta\): direction of \(K_s\pi\) system in the \(\tau\) rest frame. Correlated with \(\Psi\))

\[A_{CP}^{t} = \frac{\int\int_{Q_{1, i}^2}^{Q_{2, i}^2} \cos\beta\cos\psi \left( \frac{d\Gamma_{\tau^-}}{d\omega} - \frac{d\Gamma_{\tau^+}}{d\omega} \right) d\omega}{\frac{1}{2} \int\int_{Q_{1, i}^2}^{Q_{2, i}^2} \left( \frac{d\Gamma_{\tau^-}}{d\omega} + \frac{d\Gamma_{\tau^+}}{d\omega} \right) d\omega}
\approx \langle \cos\beta\cos\psi \rangle_{\tau^-} - \langle \cos\beta\cos\psi \rangle_{\tau^+}
\]

with \(d\omega = dQ^2 d\cos\theta d\cos\beta\).

F: Vector form factor
Fs: Scalar form factor

This term will vanish when it is Integrated over \(Q^2\).

This is an experimental observable extracting CPV term from the differential decay width.

By normalizing numbers of tau+ and tau- to be same, \(K^0-K^0\) mixing does not affect this analysis.
CPV search in lepton sector@Belle (1)

- 700 fb$^{-1}$ data sample used

Basic requirement:
3-1 charged tracks,
one $K_S^0$ (mass, flight length, etc.),
$\pi$ ID,
leptonic decay in tag side

$\pi\pi$ invariant mass for $K_S^0$ candidate
$\rightarrow$ free from BGs not including $K_S^0$
CPV search in lepton sector@Belle (2)

Totally, 162K $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow K_s^0\pi^{\pm}\nu$ candidates are selected, respectively. Almost 20% comes from BG, mainly $\tau^{-} \rightarrow K_s^0 K_L^0 \pi^{-}\nu$, $K_s^0 \pi^- \pi^0\nu$

Corrections are:

- to the detector; $O(10^{-3})$
- to the F-B asymmetry; $O(10^{-4})$
- $\tau \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi\nu$ is used for the calibration.

Result:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_{K\pi}$ (GeV/c$^2$)</th>
<th>$A_{CP}(x10^{-3})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.625-0.890</td>
<td>$7.9 \pm 3.0(stat) \pm 2.8(sys)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.890-1.110</td>
<td>$1.8 \pm 2.1(stat) \pm 1.4(sys)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.110-1.420</td>
<td>$-4.6 \pm 7.2(stat) \pm 1.7(sys)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.420-1.775</td>
<td>$-2.3 \pm 19.1(stat) \pm 5.5(sys)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interpretation: $|\text{Im} (\eta_s)| < (0.012-0.026)$ at 90 %C.L. (due to the unknown strong phase)
The 3 Higgs Doublet Model predicts CPV in the lepton sector with complex higgs coupling constant $X, Z$:

\[
\eta_s \equiv \frac{m_{\tau} m_s}{M_{H^-}^2} X^* Z
\]

$M_{H^-}$ : mass of lightest charged Higgs in MHDM

$Z$ : complex coupling constant btw Higgs and lepton.

$X$ : complex coupling constant btw Higgs and down-type quark

The result ($\text{Im}(\eta_s) < 0.026$) limits the coupling:

\[
|\text{Im}(XZ^*)| \leq 0.15 \frac{M_H^2}{(1\text{GeV})^2}
\]
CPV search in lepton sector@BaBar

Using 500fb\(^{-1}\) of data, BaBar evaluate much simpler asymmetry:

\[
A_Q = \frac{\Gamma(\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ K^0_S \bar{\nu}_\tau) - \Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- K^0_S \nu_\tau)}{\Gamma(\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ K^0_S \bar{\nu}_\tau) + \Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- K^0_S \nu_\tau)}
\]

\[
A_Q = (0.33 \pm 0.01)\% \text{ in SM due to CPV in } K^0 - \bar{K}^0 \text{ mixing.}
\]

In this analysis, any number of \(\pi^0\) is allowed in the signal decay.

170k \(\tau^\pm \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^\pm (\geq 0\pi^0)\nu\) events are picked up, respectively, by similar selection to the Belle case. Remaining BG contents are also similar, i.e., mainly come from \(\tau^- \rightarrow K^0_S K^0_L \pi^- \nu\).

Finally, after the correction asymmetry is evaluated:

\[
A_Q = (-0.36 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.11)\% \text{ 2.8 } \sigma \text{ from SM prediction}
\]

Similarly to Belle analysis, using \(\tau \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi\nu\), correction is evaluated and applied.
tau EDM search

- Tau electric dipole moment search is also a kind of CPV search.
- Tau electric dipole moment should be suppressed since tau is an elementary particle.
- But, a new physics effect can enhance tau electric dipole moment. Several SUSY models predict it, for example.

Due to the short tau life time, it is impossible to measure EDM with flying tau.

Instead, by evaluating tau-pair producing process, we can measure tau EDM.
tau EDM search @ Belle (1)

- By using “Optimal Observable”
  - Amplitude to produce $\tau \tau$ with tau EDM term can be written down:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{prod}}^2 = \mathcal{M}_{\text{SM}}^2 + Re(d_\tau)\mathcal{M}_{\text{Re}}^2 + Im(d_\tau)\mathcal{M}_{\text{Im}}^2
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{SM}}^2 = \frac{e^4}{k_0^2}(k_0^2 + m_\tau^2 + |k|^2(|\hat{k}p|^2 - S_+S_-|k|^2(1 - (|\hat{k}p|^2))]
+ 2(\hat{k}S_+)(\hat{k}S_-)(|k|^2 + (k_0 - m_\tau)^2(|\hat{k}p|^2))
- 2k_0(k_0 - m_\tau)(\hat{k}p)((\hat{k}S_+)(\hat{p}S_-) + (\hat{k}S_-)(\hat{p}S_+))
+ 2k_0^2(\hat{p}S_+)(\hat{p}S_-)
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{Re}}^2 = 4\frac{e^3}{k_0^3}|k| \left[- \left( m_\tau + (k_0 - m_\tau)(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{p})^2 \right) (S_+ \times S_-) \cdot \hat{k} + k_0(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{p})(S_+ \times S_-) \cdot \hat{p} \right],
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{Im}}^2 = 4\frac{e^3}{k_0^3}|k| \left[- \left( m_\tau + (k_0 - m_\tau)(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{p})^2 \right) (S_+ - S_-) \cdot \hat{k} + k_0(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{p})(S_+ - S_-) \cdot \hat{p} \right],
$$

By defining

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\text{Re}} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\text{Re}}^2}{\mathcal{M}_{\text{SM}}^2}
$$

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{Re}} \rangle \propto \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{Re}} d\sigma \propto \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{Re}} \mathcal{M}_{\text{prod}}^2 d\phi
$$

$$
= \int \mathcal{M}_{\text{Re}}^2 d\phi + Re(d_\tau)\int \frac{(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Re}}^2)^2}{\mathcal{M}_{\text{SM}}^2} d\phi
$$

Measuring $<\mathcal{O}_{\text{Re}}>$, EDM can be extracted. (a,b will be obtained from MC sample.)
tau EDM search @ Belle (2)

- 30fb$^{-1}$, ee→ττ / τ→eνν, µνν, πν, ρν

where, to avoid bhabha and di-muon, τ→eνν/τ→eνν, τ→µνν/τ→µνν have not been taken into account.
tau EDM search @ Belle (3)

\begin{align*}
\text{Re}(d_\tau) \ (10^{-16} \text{ecm}) & : \\
& \begin{array}{c}
e\mu  \\
e\pi  \\
\mu\pi  \\
e\rho  \\
\mu\rho  \\
\pi\rho  \\
\rho\rho  \\
\pi\pi  \\
\text{total}
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
2.25\pm1.26\pm0.92  \\
0.43\pm0.64\pm0.60  \\
-0.41\pm0.87\pm0.74  \\
0.00\pm0.36\pm0.14  \\
0.04\pm0.42\pm0.18  \\
0.34\pm0.25\pm0.22  \\
-0.08\pm0.25\pm0.17  \\
0.42\pm1.17\pm0.46  \\
0.115\pm0.170  \\
\end{array} \\
\text{Im}(d_\tau) \ (10^{-16} \text{ecm}) & : \\
& \begin{array}{c}
e\mu  \\
e\pi  \\
\mu\pi  \\
e\rho  \\
\mu\rho  \\
\pi\rho  \\
\rho\rho  \\
\pi\pi  \\
\text{total}
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
-0.41\pm0.22\pm0.46  \\
-0.22\pm0.19\pm0.45  \\
0.15\pm0.19\pm0.44  \\
-0.01\pm0.14\pm0.13  \\
-0.02\pm0.14\pm0.10  \\
-0.22\pm0.13\pm0.16  \\
-0.12\pm0.14\pm0.11  \\
0.24\pm0.34\pm0.42  \\
-0.083\pm0.086  \\
\end{array}
\end{align*}

\(|\text{Re}(d_\tau)| < 4.0 \times 10^{-17} \text{ecm}, \ |\text{Im}(d_\tau)| < 2.2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ecm}, \ @95\%CL\)

full data analysis is on-going and will finish soon.
A charged lepton flavor violation (LFV) has not yet been observed in any leptons and is also strongly suppressed in SM even if neutrino oscillation is taken into account:

\[ Br(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma)_{SM} \propto \left( \frac{\delta m^2}{m^2} \right)^2 < 10^{-54} \]

(Phys. Rev. D16 (1977) 1444)

- This is impossible to observe it experimentally. Therefore, tau LFV can be a clear signature of a new physics. (Similarly, muon LFV)
- Various models beyond SM predict it as largely as the current experimental sensitivity can reach there.

• Tau provides various kinds of lepton-flavor-violating decays since hadronic decays are allowed. (cf. muon)
  - Various decay modes tell us what model is favored.
Comparison between NP models

Ratios of tau LFV decay BF allow to discriminate between new physics models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SUSY+GUT (SUSY+Seesaw)</th>
<th>Higgs mediated</th>
<th>Little Higgs</th>
<th>non-universal Z’ boson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu}{\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma}$</td>
<td>$\sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.06$\sim$0.1</td>
<td>0.4$\sim$2.3</td>
<td>$\sim 16$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{\tau \rightarrow \mu e e}{\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma}$</td>
<td>$\sim 1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$\sim 1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.3$\sim$1.6</td>
<td>$\sim 16$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Br}(\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma)$ @Max</td>
<td>$&lt; 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$&lt; 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$&lt; 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$&lt; 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Favorite modes (JHEP 0705, 013(2007), PLB54 252 (2002))

$\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$  $\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu,\mu e e$
Analysis method of tau LFV at B-factory

\[ e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- \]

clean environment

Since signal decay is neutrinoless, signal tau can be reconstructed, differently from SM decay.

**Signal Extraction**

\[
M_{\mu\gamma} = \sqrt{\left(E_{\text{signal}}^{\text{CM}}\right)^2 - \left(p_{\text{signal}}^{\text{CM}}\right)^2}
\]

\[
\Delta E = E_{\text{signal}}^{\text{CM}} - E_{\text{beam}}^{\text{CM}}
\]

\[ M_{\mu\gamma} \sim \tau \text{ mass} \]

**Blind analysis**

⇒ Blind signal region

Estimate BG level using sideband data and MC.

The signal extraction is performed by the UEML fit or counting on the \(M_{\mu\gamma} - \Delta E\) plane.

If no excess is found, set upper limits @ 90%CL using the UEML fit and toy MC or counting method. (Depending on mode)
Result for $\tau \to \mu \gamma$ search @ Belle/BaBar

Belle: data: 545 fb$^{-1}$ \cite{PLB666, 16 (2008)}

- 94 events are found while $(88.4 \pm 7.4)$ BG events are expected in 5$\sigma$ region and the detection eff. is 6.1%.
- Upper Limits are evaluated by 2d UEML fit on M-$\Delta E$ plane.
  - Expected UL: $7.8 \times 10^{-8}$ @90%CL
  - Obtained UL: $4.5 \times 10^{-8}$ @90%CL

Remaining dominant BG is $ee \to \tau \tau(\to \mu \nu \nu) \gamma$ and this limits the sensitivity...

(PRL104,021802(2010))

BaBar: Data: 482M $\tau$ pairs (including $\Upsilon(2,3S)$ data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay modes</th>
<th>2$\sigma$ signal ellipse</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
<th>UL ($\times 10^{-8}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>obs</td>
<td>exp</td>
<td>($%$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau^\pm \to \mu^\pm \gamma$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6$\pm$0.7</td>
<td>6.1$\pm$0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At Belle, with the full data sample, updated analysis is on-going.
Result for $\tau \rightarrow 3\text{leptons}$ search @ Belle

Data: 782 fb$^{-1}$

- No event is found in the signal region.
- **Almost BG free**
  - Expected # of BG: $0.01 - 0.21$
  - good lepton ID
- $\text{Br} < (1.5 - 2.7) \times 10^{-8}$ at 90% CL.

→ most sensitive results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$ (%)</th>
<th>$N_{\text{BG}}^{\text{EXP}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{syst}}$ (%)</th>
<th>UL (x$10^{-8}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e^-e^+e^-$</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>$0.21 \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>$0.13 \pm 0.06$</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^-\mu^+\mu^-$</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>$0.10 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu^-e^+e^-$</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>$0.04 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu^-\mu^+e^-$</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>$0.02 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^-\mu^+e^-$</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>$0.01 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Result for $\tau \to 3$leptons search @ BaBar

Data: 477 fb$^{-1}$
Improve lepton ID eff.
- $\mu$: 66% $\to$ 77%
- $e$: 89% $\to$ 91%
→ Better BG rejection

no events in signal region for all modes

$\text{Br} < (1.8-3.3) \times 10^{-8}$
@90% CL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>$N_{bgd}$</th>
<th>Exp. UL</th>
<th>$N_{obs}$</th>
<th>UL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e^+e^-e^+$</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.02</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^+e^-\mu^+$</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.19</td>
<td>$3.7 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^+e^+\mu^-$</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.12</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^+\mu^-\mu^+$</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.14</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^-\mu^+\mu^+$</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.02</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu^+\mu^-\mu^+$</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.17</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary of recent tau LFV searches

48 tau LFV modes have been searched for at B-factories and obtained 100x more sensitive results than CLEO’s. Recently LHCb also made the search for $\mu\mu\mu$, etc. The results are comparable to the B-factories'.
SuperKEKB/Belle II

KEKB    superKEKB
Vertical $\beta$ function: $5.9 \text{ mm} \rightarrow 0.27/0.30 \text{ mm (x20)}$
Beam current: $1.7/1.4 \text{ A} \rightarrow 3.6/2.6 \text{ A (x2)}$
$\rightarrow L = 2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \rightarrow 8 \times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1 (x40)}$

SVD: 4 DSSD lyrs $\rightarrow$ 2 DEPFET lyrs + 4 DSSD lyrs
CDC: small cell, long lever arm
ACC+TOF $\rightarrow$ TOP+A-RICH
ECL: waveform sampling, pure CsI for end-caps
KLM: RPC $\rightarrow$ Scintillator + SiPM (end-caps)
Expected integrated luminosity on SuperKEKB/Belle II

We will reach $50 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ in 2022-2023

~$O(10^{11})$ tau sample
Future prospect on $\tau$LFV @ Belle II

- Sensitivity will be...
  BG free: $\propto 1/\langle\text{no. of }\tau\rangle$
  BG non-free: $\propto 1/\sqrt{\langle\text{no. of }\tau\rangle}$
- BG amount for each mode
  $\tau\rightarrow\mu\gamma$: BG non-free (rich)
  $\tau\rightarrow\mu\mu\mu$: BG free
  $\Rightarrow$ expected: $B(\mu\mu\mu)\sim O(10^{-10})$
  $B(\mu\gamma)\sim O(10^{-9})$

Since we WILL NOT apply same analysis,
(Because, with larger sample, we can understand BG much better.)
Sensitivity will be better than expected.
Summary

• Tau lepton is a good probe to NP phenomena such as tau LFV and CPV in lepton sector.
• B-factories provide us the huge data sample of tau (O(10^9)) and various analyses to search for NP have been performed.
• CPV search in tau decay having K_s^0 has been performed:
  – Belle’s result is 10x more sensitive than CLEO’s.
  – BaBar’s result is 2.8σ away from SM prediction.
• tau EDM has also been measured. Still zero-consistent result:
  – |Re(d_τ)|<4.0x10^{-17}, |Im(d_τ)|< 2.2x10^{-17} @95%CL
  – New analysis is on-going and will finish soon.
• 48 tau LFV modes have been searched at B-factories and 100x sensitive results have been obtained than CLEO’s.
  – The sensitivity is O(10^{-9}) . LHCb can search for some modes and the current sensitivity is comparable to B-factories’.
  – With Belle’s full data sample, τ→μγ/eγ analyses is on-going and will finish soon.
• Next generation B-factory, that is superKEKB/Belle II, will have 50x larger data sample. NP searches using tau will continue and more sensitive result will be obtained in the future.
\[ \tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu \text{ at LHCb} \]

- used \(8 \times 10^{10}\) \(\tau\)s, in 1 fb\(^{-1}\) data sample at \(\sqrt{s} = 7\) TeV collected in 2011
  - 80% of \(\tau\)s comes from \(D_S \rightarrow \tau \nu\)
  - There is no way for B-factory-like \(\tau\)-tag since \(\tau\) does not come from \(\tau\)-pair production.
    - More BG is expected than that at B-factory
- \(D_S \rightarrow \phi(\mu \mu)\pi\) is also analyzed as a “reference”.
  - Mass is very close to \(\tau\)'s and this process is also 3-prong decay.
  - By counting no. of \(D_S\), no. of \(\tau\) is evaluated.
- Used 3-prong likelihood, 3\(\mu\)-PID likelihood and \(M(\mu \mu \mu)\) to evaluate \(\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu\) likelihood.
signal likelihoods

combined signal distribution
- events distributed over 25 likelihood bins
- background estimate from mass sidebands

LHCb preliminary

- 11% signal efficiency
- 21% signal efficiency
- for illustration: high likelihood range shown
observed events

11% of the signal
0.03% of the background

21% of the signal
0.14% of the background

red dashed  combinatorial background

green    $D_s^+ \rightarrow \eta(\mu^-\mu^+\gamma)\mu^+\nu_\mu$

blue    combined background

LHCb–CONF–2012–015

1 fb$^{-1}$
After publication (PLB 724, 36(2913)), UL is corrected to 8.0 \times 10^{-8} at 90\% CL

**result (preliminary)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>Expected @ 90% CL</th>
<th>Expected @ 95% CL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu\mu\mu) &lt; 6.3 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$8.2 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$9.9 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt; 7.8 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LHCb preliminary**

Belle $2.1 \times 10^{-8}$ @ 90\% CL


BaBar $3.3 \times 10^{-8}$ @ 90\% CL

Private estimation for the future prospect of $\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$ at LHCb

- **Very near future:** $1\text{fb}^{-1} \rightarrow 3\text{fb}^{-1}$
  - $8\text{TeV} 2\text{fb}^{-1}$ data sample will be added since that has already obtained.
  - Since $\sigma(\text{cc})$ at LHC is almost proportional to $\sqrt{s}$, no. of $\tau$ approximately become 3.3 times larger.
  - Since BG is large, around $\sqrt{3.3}$ times more sensitivity will be obtained, i.e.$B<4\times10^{-8}$ @90%

- **Finally:** $50\text{fb}^{-1}$ (2030? Anyway after 2020 LS2)
  - At $14\text{TeV}$, 100 times more $\tau$ will be obtained.
  - They try to improve the trigger eff.: twice better
  - Totally, 15 times more sensitive $\rightarrow B<6\times10^{-9}$

- $\tau \rightarrow \mu \phi(\rightarrow \text{KK}), \tau \rightarrow \mu K^*(\rightarrow K\pi)$ searches are also possible. ($\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \phi$ has been done in the current one)